MALNORMAL SUBGROUPS AND FROBENIUS GROUPS: BASICS AND EXAMPLES

PIERRE DE LA HARPE AND CLAUDE WEBER
APPENDIX BY DENIS OSIN

ABSTRACT. Malnormal subgroups occur in various contexts. We review a large number of examples, and we compare the situation in this generality to that of finite Frobenius groups of permutations.

In a companion paper [HaWe], we analyse when peripheral subgroups of knot groups and 3-manifold groups are malnormal.

1. Introduction

A subgroup H of a group G is *malnormal* if $gHg^{-1} \cap H = \{e\}$ for all $g \in G$ with $g \notin H$. As much as we know, the term goes back to a paper by Benjamin Baumslag containing conditions for an amalgam $H *_L K$ (called a "generalized free product" in [Baum–68]) to be 2-free (= such that any subgroup generated by two elements is free). Other authors write that H is *conjugately separated* instead of "malnormal" [MyRe–96].

The following question arose in discussions with Rinat Kashaev (see also [Kashaev] and [Kash–11]). We are grateful to him for this motivation.

> *Given a knot K in $\mathbf{S}^3$, when is the peripheral subgroup malnormal in the group $\pi_1(\mathbf{S}^3 \smallsetminus K)$ of K ?*

The answer, for which we refer to [HaWe], is that the peripheral subgroup is malnormal unless K is either a torus knot, or a cable knot, or a connected sum.

The main purpose of the present paper is to collect in Section 3 several examples of pairs

$$\text{(infinite group, malnormal subgroup)}$$

Date: April 10, 2011.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary (20B07), secondary (20B05).

Key words and phrases. Malnormal subgroup, infinite permutation group, Frobenius group, knot group, peripheral subgroup.

P.H. and C.W. are grateful to D.O. for several comments on the last-but-one version of this paper, and for providing the appendix at the end of the paper.

which are classical. We recall in Section 2 basic elementary facts on malnormal subgroups, and we conclude in Section 4 by comparing the general situation with that of finite Frobenius groups.

2. General facts on malnormal subgroups

The two following propositions collect some straightforward properties of malnormal subgroups.

Proposition 1. *Let G be a group and H a subgroup; let X denote the homogeneous space G/H and let $x_0 \in X$ denote the class of H. The following properties are equivalent:*

(a) *H is malnormal in G;*
(b) *the natural action of H on $X \smallsetminus \{x_0\}$ is free;*
(c) *any $g \in G$, $g \neq e$, has zero or one fixed point on X.*

In case G moreover contains a normal subgroup N such that G is the semi-direct product $N \rtimes H$, these properties are also equivalent to each of:

(d) *$nh \neq hn$ for all $n \in N$, $n \neq e$, and $h \in H$, $h \neq e$;*
(e) *$C_G(h) = C_H(h)$ for any $h \in H$, $h \neq e$.*

($C_G(h)$ denotes the centraliser $\{g \in G \mid gh = hg\}$ of h in G.)

The proof is an exercise; if necessary, see the proof of Theorem 6.4 in [Isaa–08].

Following an "added in proof" of Peter Neumann in [NeRo–98], we define a *Frobenius group* to be a group G which has a malnormal subgroup H distinct from $\{e\}$ and G. A *split Frobenius group* is a Frobenius group G containing a malnormal subgroup H and a normal subgroup N such that $G = N \rtimes H$; then, it follows that the restriction to N of the action of G on G/H is *regular*, namely transitive with trivial stabilisers (the latter condition means $\{n \in N \mid ngH = gH\} = \{e\}$ for all $gH \in G/H$).

In finite group theory, according to a famous result of Frobenius, Properties (a) to (c) *imply* the existence of a splitting normal subgroup N, so that any finite Frobenius group is split. More on this in our Section 4.

Proposition 2. *Let G be a group.*

(i) *The trivial subgroups $\{e\}$ and G are malnormal in G. They are also the only subgroups of G which are both normal and malnormal.*
(ii) *Let H be a malnormal subgroup in G; then gHg^{-1} is malnormal for any $g \in G$. More generally $\alpha(H)$ is malnormal for any automorphism α of G.*

(iii) *Let H be a malnormal subgroup of G and K a malnormal subgroup of H; then K is malnormal in G.*

(iv) *Let H be a malnormal subgroup and S be a subgroup of G; then $H \cap S$ is malnormal in S.*

(v) *Let $(H_\iota)_{\iota \in I}$ be a family of malnormal subgroups of G; then $\bigcap_{\iota \in I} H_\iota$ is malnormal in G.*

(vi) *Let H and H' be two groups; then H is malnormal in the free product $H * H'$.*

(vii) *Let H be a non–trivial subgroup of G; if the centre Z of G is non–trivial, then H is not malnormal in G.*

(viii) *Let H be a non-trivial subgroup of G containing at least 3 elements; if G contains a normal subgroup C which is infinite cyclic, then H is not malnormal in G.*

In particular, a group G without 2-torsion containing a normal infinite cyclic subgroup (such as the fundamental group of a Seifert manifold not covered by $\mathbf{S}^3$) does not contain any non-trivial malnormal subgroup.

Proof. Claims (i) to (v) follow from the definition. Claim (vi) follows from the usual normal form in free products, and appears formally as Corollary 4.1.5 of [MaKS–66].

Claim (vi) carries over to amalgams $H *_K H'$ for K malnormal in both H and H' .

For (vii), we distinguish two cases. First case: $Z \not\subset H$; for $z \in Z$ with $z \notin H$, we have $zHz^{-1} \cap H = H \neq \{e\}$, so that H is not malnormal. Second case: $Z \subset H$; for $g \in G$ with $g \notin H$, we have $\{e\} \neq Z \subset H \cap gHg^{-1}$.

Claim (viii) is obvious if $H \cap C \neq \{e\}$, so that we can assume that $H \cap C = \{e\}$. Choose $c \in C$, $c \neq e$. For any $h \in H$, say with $h \neq e$, observe that $h^{-1}ch = c^{\pm 1}$.

If $h^{-1}ch = c$, then $e \neq h = c^{-1}hc \in H \cap c^{-1}Hc$, and H is not malnormal. Since H is not of order two, there exists $h_1, h_2 \in H \setminus \{e\}$ with $k \doteqdot h_2 h_1^{-1} \neq e$; if $h_j^{-1} c h_j = c$ for at least one of $j = 1, 2$, the previous argument applies; otherwise $k^{-1}ck = c$, so that H is not malnormal for the same reason. □

About (viii), note that the infinite dihedral group D_∞ contains an infinite cyclic subgroup of index 2, and that any subgroup of order 2 in D_∞ is malnormal.

Consequences 3. *Let G be a group.*

(ix) *It follows from (v) that any subgroup H of G has a* malnormal hull[1]*, which is the smallest malnormal subgroup of G containing H.*

(x) *It follows from (vi) that any group H is isomorphic to a malnormal subgroup of some group G.*

(xi) *Let $\pi : G \longrightarrow Q$ be a projection onto a quotient group and let H be a malnormal subgroup in G; then $\pi(H)$ need not be malnormal in Q.*

For Claim (xi), consider a factor $\mathbf{Z}$ of the free product $G = \mathbf{Z} * \mathbf{Z}$ of two infinite cyclic groups, and the projection π of G on its abelianization. Then (xi) follows from (vi) and (vii).

Consequence (ix) above suggest the following construction, potentially useful for the work of Rinat Kashaev. Given a group $\mathcal{G}$ and a subgroup $\mathcal{H}$, let $\mathcal{N}$ be the largest normal subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$ contained in $\mathcal{H}$; set $H = \mathcal{H}/\mathcal{N}$, $G = \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N}$. Then H has a malnormal hull, say G_0, in G. There are interesting cases in which H is malnormal in $G_0 = G$.

For example, let p, q be a pair of coprime integers, $p, q \geq 2$, and let $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$ be such that $ap + bq = 1$. Then $\mathcal{G} = \langle s, t \mid s^p = t^q \rangle$ is a torus knot group; a possible choice of meridian and parallel is $\mu = s^b t^a$ and $\lambda = s^p \mu^{-pq}$, which generate the peripheral subgroup $\mathcal{P}$ of $\mathcal{G}$; see e.g. Proposition 3.28 of [BuZi–85]. Let $\mathcal{N} = \langle s^p \rangle$ denote the centre of $\mathcal{G}$; if u and v denote respectively the images of s^b and t^a in $G := \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N}$, then $G = \langle u, v \mid u^p = v^q = e \rangle \approx C_p * C_q$ is the free product of two cyclic groups of orders p and q, and $P := \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{N} = \langle uv \rangle \approx \mathbf{Z}$ is the infinite cyclic group generated by uv. As we check below (Example 7.C), P is malnormal in G.

Let G be a group and H, H', K be subgroups such that $K \subset H' \subset H \subset G$. If K is malnormal in H, then K is malnormal in H', by (iv). It follows that it makes sense to speak about maximal subgroups of G in which K is malnormal. We will see in Example 8 that K may be malnormal in *several* such maximal subgroups of G, none contained in any other; in other words, one cannot define *one* largest subgroup of G in which K would be malnormal.

3. Examples of malnormal subgroups of infinite groups

Proposition 2 provides a sample of examples. Here are a few others.

Example 4 (**Translation subgroup of the affine group of the line**). *Let $\mathbf{k}$ be a field and let $G = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{k}^* & \mathbf{k} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ be its affine group, where*

[1] Or *malnormal closure*, as in Definition 13.5 of [KaMy–02]

the subgroup $\mathbf{k}^*$ *of* G *is identified with the isotropy subgroup of the origin for the usual action of* G *on the affine line* $\mathbf{k}$*. Then* $\mathbf{k}^*$ *is malnormal in* G*.*

Observe that the field $\mathbf{k}$ need not be commutative (in other words, $\mathbf{k}$ can be a division algebra). More generally, if V is a $\mathbf{k}$–module, then the subgroup $\mathbf{k}^* = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{k}^* & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ of the group $\mathbf{k}^* \ltimes V = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{k}^* & V \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is malnormal. To check Example 4, it seems appropriate to use (c) in Proposition 1, with $\mathbf{k}^* \ltimes V$ acting on $\mathbf{k}$ by $((a,b),x) \longmapsto ax + b$.

Example 5 (**Parabolic subgroup of a Fuchsian group**). *Consider a discrete subgroup* $\Gamma \subset PSL_2(\mathbf{R})$ *which is not elementary and which contains a parabolic element* γ_0*; denote by* ξ *the fixed point of* γ_0 *in the circle* $\mathbf{S}^1$*. Then the parabolic subgroup*

$$P \;=\; \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma(\xi) = \xi\}$$

corresponding to ξ *is malnormal in* Γ*.*

For Example 5, we recall the following standard facts. The group $PSL_2(\mathbf{R})$ is identified with the connected component of the isometry group of the Poincaré half plane $\mathcal{H}^2 = \{z \in \mathbf{C} \mid \mathrm{Im}(z) > 0\}$; it acts naturally on the boundary $\partial\mathcal{H}^2 = \mathbf{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ identified with the circle $\mathbf{S}^1$. Any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $\gamma \neq e$, is either hyperbolic, with exactly two fixed points on $\mathbf{S}^1$, or parabolic, with exactly one fixed point on $\mathbf{S}^1$, or elliptic, without any fixed point on $\mathbf{S}^1$. For such a group Γ containing at least one parabolic element fixing a point $\xi \in \mathbf{S}^2$, "non-elementary" means $P \neq \Gamma$. Since Γ is discrete in $PSL_2(\mathbf{R})$, a point in the circle cannot be fixed by both a parabolic element and a hyperbolic element in Γ.

It follows that the action of P on the complement of $\{\xi\}$ in the orbit $\Gamma\xi$ satisfies Condition (b) of Proposition 1, so that P is malnormal in Γ.

In particular, in $PSL_2(\mathbf{Z})$, the infinite cyclic subgroup P generated by the class $\gamma_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ of the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ is malnormal (case of $\xi = \infty$). In anticipation of Section 4, let us point out here that there *cannot* exist a normal subgroup N of $PSL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ such that $PSL_2(\mathbf{Z}) = N \rtimes P$, because this would imply the existence of a surjection $PSL_2(\mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow P \approx \mathbf{Z}$, but this is impossible since the abelianised group of $PSL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ is finite (cyclic of order 6).

Example 6 (**Parabolic subgroup of a torsion–free Kleinian group**). *Consider a discrete subgroup* $\Gamma \subset PSL_2(\mathbf{C})$ *which is not elementary, which is torsion–free, and which contains a parabolic element*

γ_0; denote by ξ the fixed point of γ_0 in the sphere $\mathbf{S}^2$. Then the parabolic subgroup

$$P = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma(\xi) = \xi\}$$

corresponding to ξ is malnormal in Γ.

The argument indicated for Example 5 carries over to the case of Example 6. The group $PSL_2(\mathbf{C})$ is identified with the connected component of the isometry group of the hyperbolic 3–space $\mathcal{H}^3 = \{(z,t) \in \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{R} \mid t > 0\}$; it acts naturally on the boundary $\partial\mathcal{H}^3 = \mathbf{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ identified with the sphere $\mathbf{S}^2$.

The number of conjugacy classes of subgroups of the type P is equal to the number of orbits of Γ on the subset of $\mathbf{S}^2$ consisting of points fixed by some parabolic element of Γ.

In Example 6, the hypothesis that Γ is torsion–free cannot be deleted. For example, consider the Picard group $PSL_2(\mathbf{Z}[i])$, its subgroup P of classes of matrices of the form $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$, and the subgroup Q of P of classes of matrices of the form $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Set

$$g = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in PSL_2(\mathbf{Z}[i]) \quad \text{(observe that } g^2 = e,\ g \notin P),$$

and

$$h = \begin{bmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{bmatrix} \in P \quad \text{(observe that } h^2 = e,\ h \notin Q).$$

As $ghg^{-1} = h^{-1} \in P$, the subgroup P is not malnormal in $PSL_2(\mathbf{Z}[i])$). As $h \begin{bmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} h^{-1} \in Q$ for all b, the subgroup Q is not malnormal in P (and *a fortiori* not malnormal in $PSL_2(\mathbf{Z}[i])$).

Note that, in the group Γ of Example 5, torsion is allowed, because each element $\gamma \neq e$ of finite order in $PSL_2(\mathbf{R})$ acts without fixed point on $\mathbf{S}^1$. But the element h above, of order 2, has fixed points on $\mathbf{S}^2$.

Generalisation. In case the group Γ of Example 6 is the group of a hyperbolic knot, P is the *peripheral subgroup* of Γ. This carries over to a much larger setting, see Lemma 13 below. This itself can be extended to "peripheral subgroups" in much more general situations, the conclusion being then that these subgroups are *almost* malnormal [Osi–06b, Theorem 1.4].

A subgroup H of a group G is *almost malnormal* if $gHg^{-1} \cap H$ is finite for any $g \in G$ with $g \notin H$, equivalently if the following condition holds: for any pair of distinct points $x, y \in G/H$, the subgroup $\{g \in G \mid gx = x, gy = y\}$ is finite.

Example 7 is a variation on Examples 5 and 6, related to boundary fixed points of hyperbolic elements rather than of parabolic elements.

Example 7 (Virtually cyclic subgroups of torsion free Gromov hyperbolic groups). *Consider a Gromov hyperbolic group Γ which is not elementary, an element $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$ of infinite order, and one of the two points in the boundary $\partial\Gamma$ fixed by γ_0, say ξ. Set*

$$P \,=\, \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma(\xi) = \xi\}.$$

Assume moreover that

(1) *any $\gamma \in \Gamma \smallsetminus \{e\}$ of finite order acts without fixed point on $\partial\Gamma$*

(this is trivially the case if Γ is torsion free).

Then P is malnormal in Γ.

For the background of Example 7, see [GhHa–90], in particular Theorem 30 of Chapter 8. Recall that any element in P fixes also the other fixed point of γ_0, and that the infinite cyclic subgroup of Γ generated by γ_0 is of finite index in P.

7.A. Here is an illustration of Example 7: in the free group F_2 on two generators a and b, any primitive element, for example $a^k b a^\ell b^{-1}$ with $k, l \in \mathbf{Z} \smallsetminus \{0\}$, generates an infinite cyclic subgroup which is malnormal. (An element γ in a group Γ is *primitive* if there does not exist any pair (δ, n), with $\delta \in \Gamma$ and $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, $|n| \geq 2$, such that $\gamma = \delta^n$.)

7.B. In torsion free non-elementary hyperbolic groups, subgroups of the form P are precisely the maximal abelian subgroups, which are malnormal. (See also Example 9.)

7.C. Here is an old-fashioned variation on Example 7: consider a discrete subgroup Γ of $PSL_2(\mathbf{R})$, and let $h \in \Gamma$ be a hyperbolic element fixing two distinct points $\alpha, \omega \in \mathbf{S}^1$; then

$$H \,=\, \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma(\alpha) = \alpha\}$$

is malnormal in Γ.

For an illustration (referred to at the end of Section 2), consider two integers $p \geq 2$ and $q \geq 3$; denote by C_p and C_q the finite cyclic groups of order p and q. It is easy to show[2] that there exists a non-elementary Fuchsian group Γ generated by two isometries u, v such that $\Gamma = \langle u, v \mid u^p = v^q = e\rangle \approx C_p * C_q$, in which the product uv

[2] In the hyperbolic plane, consider a rotation u of angle $2\pi/p$ and a rotation v of angle $2\pi/q$. If the hyperbolic distance between the fixed points of u and v is large enough, the group generated by u and v is a free product $C_p * C_q$ (by the theorem of Poincaré on polygons generating Fuchsian groups [Rham–71]), and the product uv is hyperbolic.

is hyperbolic and primitive. It follows that the infinite cyclic group generated by uv is malnormal in Γ.

7.D. Let us mention another variation: let $\mathbb{G}$ be a connected semisimple real algebraic group without compact factors, let d denote its real rank, and let Γ be a torsion free uniform lattice in $G := \mathbb{G}(\mathbf{R})$. Then $\mathbb{G}$ contains a maximal torus $\mathbb{T}$ such that $A := \Gamma \cap \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{R}) \approx \mathbf{Z}^d$ is malnormal in Γ; see [RoSt–10], building up on a result of Prasad and Rapinchuk, and motivated by the construction of an example in operator algebra theory. For an earlier use of malnormal subgroups in operator algebra theory, see [Robe–06], in particular Corollary 4.4, covered by our Example 7.

7.E Consider the situation of Example 7 *without* the hypothesis (1). Then it is still true that P is *almost malnormal* (as defined in the generalisation of Example 6).

The following example supports the last claim of Section 2.

Example 8. *There exists a group G containing two distinct maximal subgroups B_+, B_- and a subgroup $T \subset B_+ \cap B_-$ which is malnormal in each of B_+, B_-, but not in G.*

Set $G = PGL_2(\mathbf{C})$; let $\pi : GL_2(\mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow G$ denote the canonical projection. Define the subgroups

$$T = \pi \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{C}^* & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{C}^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_+ = \pi \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{C}^* & \mathbf{C} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad B_- = \pi \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{C}^* & 0 \\ \mathbf{C} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

of G. Then T is malnormal in B_+ and in B_- (see Example 4 and Proposition 2.ii), but not in G, since T is strictly contained in its normalizer $N_G(T)$, the quotient $N_G(T)/T$ being the Weyl group of order 2. Moreover, B_+ and B_- are maximal subgroups in G; this can be checked in an elementary way, and is also a consequence of general properties of parabolic subgroups (see [Bour–68], Chapter IV, § 2, n^o 5, Théorème 3).

Example 9 (**CSA**). *A group is said to be CSA if all its maximal abelian subgroups are malnormal.*

The following groups are known to be CSA : (i) torsion free hyperbolic groups, (ii) groups acting freely and without inversions on Λ-trees (in particular on trees), and (iii) universally free groups.

For (i), see Example 7.B. For (ii), see [Bass–91], Corollary 1.9; (iii) follows. For CSA groups, see [MyRe–96], [GiKM–95], and other papers by the same authors.

The nature of the two last examples of this section is more combinatorial than geometric.

Example 10 (**M. Hall**). *Let F be a free group and H a finitely generated subgroup of F; then there exist a subgroup of finite index F_0 in F which contains H and a subgroup K of F such that $F_0 = H * K$.*

In particular, H is malnormal in F_0.

This is a result due to M. Hall and often revisited. See [Hall–49], [Burn–69], [Stal–83], or Lemma 15.22 on Page 181 of [Hemp–76].

Rank 2 malnormal subgroups of free groups are characterised in [FiMR–02].

Example 11 (**An example of B.B. Newman**). *Let $G = \langle X; r \rangle$ be a one relator group with torsion, let Y be a subset of X which omits at least one generator occuring in r, and let H be the subgroup of G generated by Y. Then H is malnormal in G.*

For Example 11, see Chapter IV of [LySc–77], just before Theorem 5.4, Page 203.

To conclude this section, we quote two more known facts about malnormal subgroups:

- there exist hyperbolic groups for which there is no algorithm to decide which finitely generated subgroups are malnormal [BrWi–01];
- if H is a finitely generated subgroup of a finitely generated free group F, the malnormal closure K of H in F has been investigated in [KaMy–02]; in particular, K is finitely generated (part of Theorem 13.6 in [KaMy–02]).

Other papers on malnormal groups include [BaMR–99] and [KaSo–71].

Almost malnormal subgroups have hardly be mentioned here (but in the generalisation of Example 6). They have nevertheless their importance, for example for proving residual finiteness of some groups in various papers by Daniel T. Wise (three of them quoted in our references). Here is a result of [Wis–02a]: *the free product of two virtually free groups amalgamating a finitely generated almost malnormal subgroup is residually finite.* The malnormality condition is necessary! indeed: *there exists a free group F and a subgroup E of finite index such that the amalgamated product $F *_E F$ is an infinite simple group* [BuMo–00, Theorem 5.5].

4. Comparison with malnormal subgroups of finite groups

Let H be a malnormal subgroup in a group G, with $H \neq \{e\}$ and $H \neq G$. Let N denote the *Frobenius kernel*, which is by definition the union of $\{e\}$ and of the complement in G of $\bigcup_{g \in G} gHg^{-1}$; observe that $N \smallsetminus \{e\}$ is the set of elements in G without any fixed point on G/H. The subgroup H is called the *Frobenius complement*.

For the case of a *finite group* G, let us quote the following three important results, for which we refer to Theorems V.7.6, V.8.7, and V.8.17 in [Hupp–67]; see also [Asch–00] or [DiMo–96].

- *N is a normal subgroup of G, its size $|N|$ coincides with the index $[G : H]$, and G is a semi-direct product $N \rtimes H$ (Frobenius* [Frob–01]*); moreover $|N| \equiv 1 \pmod{|H|}$.*
- *N is a nilpotent group; moreover, if H is of even order, then N is abelian (Thompson* [Thom–59], [Thom–60]*);*
- Let H' be another malnormal subgroup in G, neither $\{e\}$ nor G, and let N' be the corresponding complement; then H' is conjugate to H and $N' = N$.

(Moreover, $N' = N$ coincides with the "Fitting subgroup" of G, namely the largest nilpotent normal subgroup of G.) There are known, but non-trivial, examples showing that H need not be solvable, and that N need not be abelian.

These facts do not carry over to infinite groups, as already noted in several places including [Coll–90] and Page 90 in [DiMo–96]. In what follows and as usual, G is a group with malnormal subgroup H and Frobenius complement N; we assume that H is neither $\{e\}$ nor G.

4.1. N **need not be a subgroup of** G**.** For example, let K be a non-trivial knot in $\mathbf{S}^3$, G_K its group, and P_K its peripheral subgroup. Assume that K is prime, and neither a torus knot nor a cable knot, so that P_K is malnormal in G_K [HaWe]. Since the abelianisation of G_K is $\mathbf{Z}$ (for example by Poincaré duality), the Frobenius kernel is not a subgroup (otherwise $P_K \approx \mathbf{Z}^2$ would be a quotient of $G_K^{\mathrm{ab}} \approx \mathbf{Z}$, which is preposterous).

Another example is provided by H, malnormal in $G = H * K$, with H and K non-trivial and not both of order 2 (see Proposition 2.vi). Again, the kernel is not a subgroup; indeed, for $h_1, h_2 \in H \smallsetminus \{e\}$ with $h_1 h_2 \neq e$ and $k \in K \smallsetminus \{e\}$, then $h_1 k$ and $k^{-1} h_2$ are in the complement, but $h_1 h_2$ is not.

The example of the cyclic subgroup H generated by $x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$ in the free group G on two generators x and y, which is a malnormal

subgroup of which the complement is not a subgroup, appears on Page 51 of [KeWe–73]; see also Example 7.A above.

4.2. **There are examples with $N = \{e\}$.** Consider a large enough prime p and a *Tarski monster* for p, namely an infinite group G in which any non-trivial subgroup is cyclic of order p. Such subgroups have been shown to exist by Ol'shanskii (1982), see § 28 in [Ol's–91], and independently by Rips (unpublished, cited in [Coll–90]); note that such a G is necessarily generated by two elements, and is a simple group. Then G acts by conjugation on the set X of its non-trivial subgroups, in a transitive way. This makes G a Frobenius group of permutations, since any $g \in G$, $g \neq e$ has a unique fixed point in X which is $\{e, g, g^2, \dots, g^{p-1}\}$. Thus N is reduced to $\{e\}$, and G is certainly not a semi-direct product of N and a cyclic group of order p. This example has been noted in several places, one being [Came–86].

4.3. **When N is a subgroup of G, it need not be nilpotent.** This is shown by the example of the wreath product $G = S \wr \mathbf{Z}$, with S a simple group. The subgroup $H = \mathbf{Z}$ is malnormal, and the corresponding Frobenius kernel $N = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} S_i$, with each S_i a copy of S, is not nilpotent. More generally, given a group H acting on a set X in such a way that $h^{\mathbf{Z}}x$ is infinite for all $h \in H$, $h \neq e$, and $x \in X$, as well as a group $S \neq \{e\}$, the permutational wreath product $G = S \wr_X H$ contains H as a malnormal subgroup, with Frobenius kernel $\bigoplus_{x \in X} S_x$.

4.4. **Malnormal subgroups need not be conjugate.** This is clear with a free product $G = H * K$ as in Proposition 2, where H and K are both malnormal subgroups, and are clearly non-conjugate. If $G = H * K * L$, with non-trivial factors, the malnormal subgroup H is strictly contained in the malnormal subgroup $H * K$.

4.5. **A last question, out of curiosity.** Let $G = N \rtimes H$ be a semi-direct product. If $X = G/H$ (as in Proposition 1) is identified with N, note that the natural action of G can be written like this: $g = mh \in G$ acts on $n \in N$ to produce $mhnh^{-1} \in N$. Consider the two following conditions, the first being as in Proposition 1:

(a) H is malnormal in G;
(f) $C_G(n) = C_N(n)$ for any $n \in N$, $n \neq e$.

Then (f) implies (a). Indeed, for any $n \in N$, Condition (f) implies $C_H(n) = H \cap C_G(n) \subset H \cap N = \{e\}$; in other terms, for $h \in H$, $h \neq e$, the equality $hnh^{-1} = n$ implies $h = e$. Thus Condition (b) of Proposition 1 holds, and thus (a) holds also.

When G is finite, then, conversely, (a) implies (f); see Theorem 6.4 in [Isaa–08]. Does this carry over to the general case?

As Denis Osin has answered this question negatively, we reproduce his argument below.

5. **Appendix by Denis Osin** **Answer to the question of 4.5**

Observe that for any split extension $G = N \rtimes H$ and for any element $z \in N$, $C_N(z)$ is normal in $C_G(z)$ and $C_G(z)/C_N(z)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of H. It turns out that *every* subgroup of H can be realized as $C_G(z)/C_N(z)$ for some $z \in N$ and $G = N \rtimes H$ with H malnormal. Below we prove this for torsion free finitely generated groups. The proof of the general case is a bit longer. It is based on the same idea but uses some additional technical results about van Kampen diagrams and small cancellation quotients of relatively hyperbolic groups.

Theorem 12. *For any finitely generated torsion free group H and any finitely generated subgroup $Q \leq H$, there exists a group N, a split extension $G = N \rtimes H$, and a nontrivial element $z \in N$ such that H is malnormal in G and $C_G(z)/C_N(z) \cong Q$.*

To prove the theorem we will need some tools from small cancellation theory over relatively hyperbolic groups. Let G be a group hyperbolic relative to a collection of subgroups $\{H_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$. An element of G is *loxodromic* if it is not conjugate to an element of $\bigcup_{\lambda\in\Lambda} H_\lambda$ and has infinite order. A group is *elementary* if it contains a cyclic subgroup of finite index. It is proved in [Osi–06a] that for every loxodromic element $g \in G$, there is a unique maximal elementary subgroup $E_G(g) \leq G$ containing g. Two loxodromic elements f, g are *commensurable* (in G) if f^k is conjugate to g^l in G for some non–zero k, l. A subgroup S of G is called *suitable* if it contains two non-commensurable loxodromic elements g, h such that $E_G(g) \cap E_G(h) = \{1\}$.

The lemma below follows immediately from [Osi–06b, Corollary 2.37].

Lemma 13. *Let G be a torsion free group hyperbolic relative to a collection of subgroups $\{H_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$. Then every H_λ is malnormal.*

The next result follows from [Osi–10, Theorem 2.4] and its proof.

Theorem 14. *Let G_0 be a group hyperbolic relative to a collection $\{H_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$, and S a suitable subgroup of G_0. Then for every finite subset $T \subset G_0$, there exists a set of elements $\{s_t \mid t \in T\} \subset S$ such that the following conditions hold.*

(a) *Let* $G = \langle G_0 \mid t = s_t, t \in T\rangle$. *Then the restriction of the natural homomorphism* $\varepsilon\colon G_0 \to G$ *to every* H_λ *is injective.*
(b) G *is hyperbolic relative to* $\{\varepsilon(H_\lambda)\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$.
(c) *If* G_0 *is torsion free, then so is* G.

Proof of Theorem 12. Let

$$G_0 = (\langle z\rangle \times Q) * \langle a, b\rangle * H.$$

Clearly G_0 is hyperbolic relative to the collection $\{\langle z\rangle \times Q, H\}$. Let X and Y be finite generating sets of Q and H, respectively. We fix an isomorphic embedding $\iota\colon Q \to H$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\iota(X) \subseteq Y$.

It is easy to see that $S = \langle a, b\rangle$ is a suitable subgroup of G_0. Indeed a and b are not commensurable in G_0 and $E_{G_0}(a) \cap E_{G_0}(b) = \{1\}$. We apply Theorem 14 to the finite set

$$T = \{z\} \cup \{a^y, b^y \mid y \in Y \cup Y^{-1}\} \cup \{x^{-1}\iota(x) \mid x \in X\}.$$

Let G be the corresponding quotient group. For simplicity we keep the same notation for elements of G_0 and their images in G. Part (a) of Theorem 14 also allows us to identify the subgroups $\langle z\rangle \times Q$ and H of G_0 with their (isomorphic) images in G.

Let N be the image of S in G. Note that, in the quotient group G, we have $t \in N$ for every $t \in T$. In particular we have $z \in N$ and $x^{-1}\iota(x) \in N$ for all $x \in X$. Hence the group G is generated by $\{a, b\} \cup Y$. Since $a^y, b^y \in N$ for all $y \in Y \cup Y^{-1}$, the subgroup N is normal in G and $G = NH$. Using Tietze transformations it is easy to see that the map $a \mapsto 1$ and $b \mapsto 1$ extends to a retraction $\rho\colon G \to H$ such that $\rho|_Q \equiv \iota$. In particular, $H \cap N = \{e\}$ and hence $G = N \rtimes H$.

Since G_0 is torsion free, so is G by Theorem 14 (c). By Theorem 14 (b) and Lemma 13, the subgroups H and $\langle z\rangle \times Q$ are malnormal in G. In particular, $C_G(z) = \langle z\rangle \times Q$. Since $z \in N$ and $\rho|_Q \equiv \iota$, we obtain $\rho(z^n, q) = \iota(q)$ for every $(z^n, q) \in \langle z\rangle \times Q$. Hence

$$C_N(z) = C_G(z) \cap N = C_G(z) \cap \operatorname{Ker}\rho = \langle z\rangle \times \{e\}.$$

Therefore $C_G(z)/C_N(z) \cong Q$. □

References

[Asch–00] M. Aschbacher, *Finite group theory*, Second Edition, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2000.

[Baum–68] B. Baumslag, *Generalized free products whose two-generator subgroups are free*, J. London Math. Soc. **43** (1968), 601–606.

[BaMR–99] G. Baumslag, A. Myasnikov, and V. Remeslennikov, *Malnormality is decidable in free groups*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. **9**:6 (1999), 687–692.

[Bass–91] H. Bass *Group actions on non-archimedean trees*, in *Arboreal group theory, Proc. Workshop, Berkeley, 1988*, Publ. Math. Sci. Res. Inst. **19** (1991), 69–131.

[Bour–68] N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et algèbres de Lie, chapitres 4, 5 et 6*, Hermann, 1968.

[BrWi–01] M.R. Bridson and D.T. Wise, *Malnormality is undecideable in hyperbolic groups*, Israel J. of Math. **124** (2001), 313–316.

[BuMo–00] M. Burger and S. Mozes *Lattices in products of trees*, Publ. Math. IHÉS **92** (2000), 151–194.

[BuZi–85] G. Burde and H. Zieschang, *Knots*, de Gruyter, 1985.

[Burn–69] R.G. Burns, *A note on free groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (1969), 14–17.

[Came–86] P. Cameron, *Cofinitary permutation groups*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **28** (1996), 113–140.

[Coll–90] M.J. Collins, *Some infinite Frobenius groups*, J. of Algebra **131** (1990), 161–165.

[DiMo–96] J.D. Dixon and B. Mortimer, *Permutation groups*, Springer, 1996.

[FiMR–02] B. Fine, A. Myasnikov, and G. Rosenberger, *Malnormal subgroups of free groups*, Comm. in Algebra $\mathbf{20}^9$ (2002), 4155–4164.

[Frob–01] F.G. Frobenius, *Über auflösbare Gruppen IV*, S'ber Adad. wiss. Berlin (1901), 1216–1230 [Gesammelte Abhandlungen III, 189–203, in particular Page 196].

[GhHa–90] E. Ghys et P. de la Harpe (éditeurs), *Sur les groupes hyperboliques d'après Mikhael Gromov*, Birkhäuser, 1990.

[GiKM–95] D. Gildenhuys, O. Kharlampovich, and A Myasnikov, *CSA-groups and separated free constructions*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **52** (1995), 63-84.

[Hall–49] M. Hall, Jr., *Coset representations in free groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **67** (1949), 421–432.

[HaWe] P. de la Harpe and C. Weber, *On malnormal peripheral subgroups in fundamental groups of* 3*-manifolds*, the companion paper.

[Hemp–76] J. Hempel, 3*–manifolds*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, Princeton University Press, 1976.

[Hupp–67] B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen I*, Springer, 1967.

[Isaa–08] I.M. Isaacs, *Finite group theory*, Graduate Studies in Math. **92**, Amer. Math. Soc. 2008.

[KaMy–02] I. Kapovich and A. Myasnikov, *Stallings foldings and subgroups of free groups*, Journal of Algebra **248** (2002), 608–668.

[Kashaev] Rinat Kashaev, *On ring–valued invariants of topological pairs*, arXiv (21 Jan 2007).

[Kash–11] Rinat Kashaev, Δ*-groupoids in knot theory*, Geom. Dedicata **150** (2011), 105–130.

[KaSo–71] A. Karrass and D. Solitar, *The free product of two groups with a malnormal amalgamated subgroup*, Canadian J. Math. **23** (1971), 933–959.

[KeWe–73] O.H. Kegel and B.A.F. Wehrfritz, *Locally finite groups*, North-Holland, 1973.

[LySc–77] R.C. Lyndon and P.E. Schupp, *Combinatorial group theory*, Springer, 1977.

[MaKS–66] W. Magnus, A. Karrass, and D. Solitar, *Combinatorial group theory*, Interscience, 1966.

[MyRe–96] A.G. Myasnikov and V.N. Remeslennikov, *Exponential group 2: extensions of centralizers and tensor completion of CSA-groups*, Internat. J. Algebra and Comput. **6** (1996), 687–711.

[NeRo–98] P.M. Neumann and P.J. Rowley, *Free actions of abelian groups on groups*, London Math. Soc. Lec. Notes **252** (1998), 291–295.

[Ol's–91] A.Yu. Ol'shanskii, *Geometry of defining relations in groups*, Kluwer, 1991.

[Osi–06a] D.V. Osin, *Elementary subgroups of relatively hyperbolic groups and bounded generation*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. **16** (2006), no. 1, 99-118.

[Osi–06b] D.V. Osin, *Relatively hyperbolic groups: intrinsic geometry, algebraic properties, and algorithmic problems*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **179**, 2006, no. 843.

[Osi–10] D.V. Osin, *Small cancellations over relatively hyperbolic groups and embedding theorems*, Ann. Math. **172** (2010), 1-39.

[Rham–71] G. de Rham, *Sur les polygones générateurs de groupes fuchsiens*, L'Enseignement Math. **17** (1971), 49–61.

[Robe–06] G. Robertson, *Abelian subalgebras of von Neumann algebras from flat tori in locally symmetric spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **230**-2 (2006), 419–431.

[RoSt–10] G. Robertson and Tim Steger, *Malnormal subgroups of lattices and the Pukanszky invariant in group factors*, J. Funct. Anal. **258**-8 (2010), 2708–2713.

[Stal–83] J.R. Stallings, *Topology of finite graphs*, Invent. Math. **71** (1983), 551–565.

[Thom–59] J.G. Thompson, *Finite groups with fixed-point-free automorphisms of prime order*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **45** (1959), 578–581.

[Thom–60] J.G. Thompson, *Normal p-complements for finite groups*, Math. Zeitschr. **72** (1960), 332–354.

[Wis–02a] D.T. Wise, *The residual finiteness of negatively curved polygons of finite groups*, Inventiones Math. $\mathbf{149}^3$ (2002), 579–617.

[Wis–02b] D.T. Wise, *Residual finiteness of quasi-positive one-relator groups*, J. London Math. Soc. **66** (2002), 334–350.

[Wise–03] D.T. Wise, *A residually finite version of Rips's construction*, Bull. London Math. Soc. $\mathbf{35}^1$ (2003), 23–29.

Pierre de la Harpe and Claude Weber : Section de mathématiques, Université de Genève, C.P. 64, CH–1211 Genève 4.

E-mail address: `Pierre.delaHarpe@unige.ch and Claude.Weber@unige.ch`

Denis Osin : Stevenson Center 1326, Department of Mathematics, Vanderbilt University Nashville, TN 37240, U.S.A.

E-mail address: `denis.osin@gmail.com`